Leveraging Big Data Computing through EDX for Advanced Energy R&D & Analytics



Vic Baker, Kelly Rose, Jennifer Bauer, Dave Rager

National Energy Technology Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy

8/18/16



Spanning the subsurface to atmosphere, engineered & natural systems



Common Energy Data Challenges



- Finding & accessing authoritative, appropriate data
- Multiple scales and sources
- Often *indirect sources*
- **Discontinuous** data
- *Missing* data
- Numerous forms of *uncertainty*
- Multi-component data
- *Cost* of data preservation
- Challenge of *big data*

3:4

- Historical, non digital datasets
- Structured & unstructured
- Representing *numerous systems*
- Spanning *numerous sources*



National Energy Technology Laboratory





NETL's Energy Data Exchange (EDX) provides an *innovative* solution for data-driven efforts offering:

- A secure, online coordination and collaboration platform supporting energy research, knowledge transfer and data discovery needs
- Enduring and reliable access to historic and current R&D data, data driven products, and tools
- Offers both *public* and *secure, private* functionalities

EDX serves as a liaison between data resources and future needs



Basic "google" search on key search terms returns millions of results, many are not data related

> EDX Search is focused on energy data resources



Big data tools for small & big data challenges...



- Big Data capabilities can address common R&D challenges associated with data:
 - Gathering/search
 - Integration
 - Management
 - Analysis/Use
- Combined with appropriate hardware, has the potential to offer EDX users functionality to support management and R&D analytical needs





Spark vs MapReduce vs Single Threaded Application





What is "big data"?





What is big data computing?

NETL

- Combination of hardware & software technologies that make it possible to realize value from "Big Datasets"
- HPC vs BDC
 - Traditional HPC systems are focused on performing calculations at fast speeds
 - BDC is focused on computing to sift through huge amounts of big datasets
 - HPC systems usually cost \$1000's of k
 - BDC can operate on range of hardware, including inexpensive (\$10's of k) clusters optimized for distributed, inmemory, iterative processing for analytics, query, and data mining
- Both HPC and BDC can harness cloud server farms or add additional physical nodes

DistributedSources UserDriven Metadata Process Unstructured Geosciences DataDriven Complex Content Volume Formats DataMining ata Sources DataDiversity MultiScale Ingest **ComputingCapabilities** Disseminate

Chedoop

cloudera

Why use big data computing?



• Discovery, Data Mining, and Cataloging

- Sift through massive collections of unstructured data from multiple sources
 - Web crawling,
 - document parsing,
 - geospatial file/service processing (# features, envelope, projection, metadata)
- Correlate relevant data using natural language processing and machine learning
 - Think "Amazon.com" recommendations for data instead of products

• Spatial, Temporal, Image Data Processing

- Harness cluster computing to distribute complex computations
 - Quadtrees, nested grids
 - Nearest Neighbors
 - CT Scans







- Apache Hadoop is a software framework for storing Big Data and running applications on clusters of commodity hardware.
- Hadoop contains libraries enabling users to perform data analysis (SQL-like queries) or develop custom applications (Scala, Java, Python-based distributed jobs).
- Hadoop enables you to store, manage, and work with your Big Data.





Hadoop was not built for speed...



Hadoop was specially built to tackle Big Data problems

What Hadoop isn't...



- We don't install applications on Hadoop
 - (i.e., we don't install ArcGIS Server on Hadoop, but we can use Hadoop to store and work on GIS data)
- Hadoop likes large files, not lots of small files
 - network and disk access overhead will slowdown runtime performance
- Hadoop isn't necessarily fast just because it's a cluster.. but...
 - tools such as Spark, HBase, and Solr offer significant performance boost vs 'standard' Hadoop libraries



MapReduce: Single Pass Processing



- Custom Hadoop applications (written in Java or Python)
- Single-pass execution not iterative!
 - Load data, process single iteration, export result
- Typically consists of one 'Map' phase and one 'Reduce' phase
- Applications are highly specialized
 - Architecture designed for one-pass computations,
 - Cases requiring multi-pass algorithms require stringing multiple one-pass applications together.
 - I/O not stored in memory between jobs
- Suitable for batch operations such as image conversion
- Provides distributed computing option for developers but imposes constraints for algorithm design

How Does MapReduce Work: Thought Example



Problem:

Solution:

Four friends are playing cards. The cards spill on the floor.

Pickup and organize (by suit) the spilled deck of cards

Have each friend grab some of the cards and organize their cards by suit.

This is analogous to 'Mapping' in Hadoop

Combine each friend's stacks of cards (organized by suit) and combine like suits together.

This is analogous to **'Reduce'** in Hadoop





Hive Example: Well API Aggregation

🕍 well-agge

add jar /usr/local/b /usr/local/b create tempo create tempo drop table w

CREATE TABLE

AUP

1 FR0

15 INS

20 3

Execut



- SQL-like queries on Hadoop
- Problem: •
 - Well data in occ data have bad API data
- Solution:
 - Perform spatial binning to • identify nearest neighbors from valid data set using Hive
 - 908 Million distance comparisons
 - 9360 OCC wells vs 97000 AllWells
- ~20 minutes using three (3) node experime consisting of desktop PCs (eight (8) core i7 with sixteen (16) GB RAM each running VM

aggegation B	ased on orig	inal data from Adi											
cal/bin/gis-too cal/bin/gis-too temporary funct temporary funct ble wells agg;	ols-for-had ols-for-had ion ST_Poi ion ST_Dis	oop-master/lib/esri-g oop-master/lib/spatia nt as 'com.esri.hadoo tance as 'com.esri.ha	eomet L-sdk D.hiv doop.	ry-api.ja k-hadoop.j ve.ST_Poir hive.ST_[ar jar; nt'; Distance';								
TABLE wells_agg	(occ_api S	TRING, allwells_api S	FRING	, occ_de	cimal_lo DOUBL	E, occ_decimal_la	DOUBLE, allwell	ls_surface_lo DOUB	LE, allwells_surfac	e_la DOUBLE,	distance DOUM	BLE);	
	ry Editors ∽	Data Browsers ✓ Workflows	~							File Browser	Job Browser	¢\$vic ∽	e) 🕫 😗
Hive Editor	Ouery Editor	My Oueries Saved Oueries	Hi	storv						_			
der Column	Query Lance											ت ت	
Log Columns	Results Chan	l											
🔶 🍦 default.allwells	s.uwi 🔶 default.a	allwells.source 🍦 default.allwells.	api_nu	mber 🔶 defau	llt.allwells.ic_number	default.allwells.operator_	n 🍦 default.allwells.cu	rrent_op	default.allwells.lease_name	e default.allwells.w	vell_num default.	allwells.field_na	ame 🍦 dei
0 35033006690000	PI	35033006690000				BOWERS & WISE	BOWERS & WISE		PENDERGRAFT	1	WILDCAT		ОК
1 35033006940000	PI	35033006940000				CHAMBERLAIN	CHAMBERLAIN		BERENDZEN	1	WILDCAT		ОК
2 35037007960000											WILDCAT		OK ≡
3 35027004690000	Databas	ses > default > occ_	lata								WILDCAT		ок
4 35035214590000	Columns	Sample Properties									CRAIG CT	Y CBM	ОК
5 35035214600000											CRAIG CT	Y CBM	ОК
6 35035214610000	🔶 🍦 api	decimal_la 🔶 decimal	lo 🗍	1977_jan 🍦	1977_feb 🍦 1977_mar	1977_apr 1977_may	1977_june 1977_ju	ly 1977_aug 🔶 1977_sep	t 1977_oct 1977_nov	1977_dec 🔶 198;	CRAIG CT	Y CBM	ОК
7 35035214620000	0 4.0	35.1020011902 -96.372001	5479 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0	CRAIG CT	Y CBM	ОК
8 35035214630000	1 13.0	34.688999176 -96.370002	7466 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 _	CRAIG CT	Y CBM	ОК
9 35043350960000	2 21.0	34.6949996948 -98.088996		≜ occ. ani	💧 allwells, ani	≜ occ. decimal, lo	≜ occ. decimal la	≜ allwells surface lo		la 💧 distanc	ce A	1	ОК
10 35031002860000	3 24.0	35.0670013428 -97.343002	0	1310000.0	35029203440000	-96.3700027466	34.688999176	-96.3662719727	34.6934089661	0.005776	23772657		ОК
11 35031021370000	4 26.0	36.9000015259 -98.218002	1	1310000.0	35029601300000	-96.3700027466	34.688999176	-96.3654022217	34.6925010681	0.005781	70196274	OUTHWEST	OK
	5 27.0	36.7000007629 -95.692001	2	1310000.0	35029601290000	-96.3700027466	34.688999176	-96.3698120117	34.6925163269	0.0035223	3188517		
	6 30.0	36.9560012817 -101.93000	3	70090.0	35019205580001	-97.5230026245	34.2420005798	-97.5324325562	34.2408599854	0.009498	66130148		
	7 33.0	36.8930015564 -100.25	4	60048.0	35019093980000	-97.5059967041	34.4109992981	-97.502204895	34.4151420593	0.005616	07396027		
	8 35.0	35.8790016174 -95.920997	5	60015.0	35019030220000	-97.5149993896	34.4889984131	-97.5083770752	34.4893836975	0.006633	51285544		
	9 43.0	34.5130004883 -97.114997	6	60015.0	35019028910000	-97.5149993896	34.4889984131	-97.5062866211	34.4880905151	0.008759	94375403		
L	10 44 0	33 8070088008 .07 405008	7	60015.0	35019025180000	-97.5149993896	34.4889984131	-97.5118865967	34.4951477051	0.006892	26175297		
			8	60015.0	35019024780000	-97.5149993896	34.4889984131	-97.5084609985	34.4931182861	0.007728:	12475783		
			9	50115.0	35015357630000	-98.2480010986	34.9659996033	-98.2433776855	34.9664001465	0.004640	73091534		
mental	l clus	ster	10	50115.0	35015352630002	-98.2480010986	34.9659996033	-98.2456436157	34.9645957947	0.002743	79376768		
			11	50115.0	35015352630001	-98.2480010986	34.9059990033	-98.2450430157	34.9645957947	0.002743	79376768		
i7 nroc	2229	nrs	12	50002.0	35015367340000	-98.2480010986	34.90099900000	-98.1328887939	34.904595773926	0.002743	45645951		
in proc		// 5	14	50002.0	35015369430000	-98.1240005493	34.9319992065	-98.1246414185	34.9354743958	0.003533	78738659		
/N/c			15	50002.0	35015369800000	-98.1240005493	34.9319992065	-98.1292037964	34.9372177124	0.0073693	30006711		
vivisj			16	39437.0	35049237480000	-97.2659988403	34.7150001526	-97.2615661621	34.721786499	0.008105	74698402		
			17	39290.0	35049392890002	-97.2509994507	34.7750015259	-97.2486038208	34.7725715637	0.003412	29521374		
			18	39290.0	35049392890001	-97.2509994507	34.7750015259	-97.2486038208	34.7725715637	0.003412	29521374		
			19	39233.0	35049005190001	-97.2480010986	34.7840003967	-97.2574920654	34.7852592468	0.009574	08764884		

Example of big data computing for geospatial analysis: Variable Grid Method: Capturing Uncertainty in the Analysis





What: Variable Grid Method (VGM) is an approach designed to address issues of data uncertainty by communicating the data (colors) and uncertainties (grid cell sizes) simultaneously in a single layer.

> VGM is a <u>flexible</u> method that allows for the communication of different data <u>and</u> uncertainty types, while still preserving the overall spatial trends and patterns.



Using NETL's Variable Grid Method

Communication tool to better display analytical results with their uncertainty quantification or qualification. Capable of working with various data types, formats, and uncertainty representations

VGM approach highlighted in a special issue of Transactions in GIS (July 2015)



Novel, flexible approach leveraging GIS capabilities to simultaneously visualize & quantify spatial data trends (colors) and underlying uncertainty (grid size)

ArcGIS, Python based **tool in beta testing** to help facilitate use of the VGM approach

Results to date – big data geoprocessing



Merging GIS and Big Data computing for advanced 3D/4D geospatial analysis

- Offload intensive geometric operations from desktop to a Hadoop cluster
- Is highly scalable
- Self healing
- The approach is ideal for executing geometric operations in parallel involving many features.

VGM use case for geoprocessing, presented at 12/2015 AGU and 6/2016 ARMA Symposium

11 10 1		
"na	ISM": TAISE,	
14	actarkererence . { wkid .4320},	
	acutes . L	
1	ttributoc": (
a	"IIWT ADTNU" · 2709520250 0	
	"OP Paso m ": 0.0	
	"Surf Lat": 41 494693	
	"Salinity ": A A	
	"WSN": 1.0	
	"Surf Lop": -80, 103193	
	"Brine Dens": 0.0.	
	"OR Gross T": 0.0.	
	"Porosity ": 0.0.	
	"NET THICKN": 0.0.	
	"Oriskany T": 1190.549	
},		
"ge	eometry": {	
	"y": 5084098.520442805,	
	"x": -8917047.03754837,	
	"spatialReference": {	
	"wkid": 4326,	
	"latestWkid": 4326	
	}	
}		
},		
{		
"at	tributes": {	
	"UWIAPINu": 3703920665.0,	
	"OR_Base_m_": 1077.9,	

Hadoop-Based VGM Detailed Workflow



4326},	VGM-Step-0	VGM-Step-1	VGM-Step-2
9.0,	Description: Convert 'enclosed-Json' ESRI feature class into 'feature-per-row' unenclosed-Json.	Description: Generate multi-resolution bounding quads for input point data set (i.e., ORWells-wgs84)	Description: Generate non-overlapping topology of vgm-step-1 quads and calculate we point data per new geometries.
	Input: 'Enclosed-Json' formatted data (i.e., ORWells-wgs84.json) uploaded from ArcMap using ESRI/Hadoop toolbox tools 'Features to	Input: vgm-step-0 output 'Unenclosed-Json' of row-per-feature representation of orwells-wgs84 data	Input: Multi-resolution quads generated in vgr step-1 AND the point data generated from vgr step-0
	Output: Processed 'Unenclosed-Json' with	Output: Quads of varying extents with attribution (i.e., point count, max/min/avg	Output: Non-overlapping polygons as 'unenclosed-Json' features with attribution (point count, min/max/avg porosity, etc.)
	Mapper (Setup): Create EsriFeatureClass from input file and write each feature as a row represented as unenclosed-Json.	salinity, porosity, brine density) Mapper (Setup): Load point features from vgm- step-0 and use to generate quadtree node extents.	Mapper (Setup): Load vgm-step-1 output files representing attributed quads of varying resolutions to generate non-overlapping topology. Mapper: Feed the Mapper with rows from the
	Reducer: Aggregate Mapper output into one or more files	Mapper: Feed mapper each row of 'unenclosed-Json' from vgm-step-0 point data and query the quadtree for all quads that contain	vgm-step-0 'unenclosed-Json' point feature data, query topology for 'point in polygon' to generate polygon's attributes, and perform geometry subtraction using ESRI Hadoop libs
om		Reducer: Aggregate Mapper output into one or more files and store in vgm/working/output-0/.	Reducer: Tally the attributes for each polygon and write attributed polygon as unenclosed- Json.
	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{tribules} & \mbo$		

(shown via ArcMap)

'Feature per row' formatted data for MapReduce



Hadoop-VGM Input





Hadoop-VGM Output





Hadoop-VGM: ArcMap to Hadoop





Hadoop-VGM: Step 0: Data Prep



Input 'ORWells-wgs84.json' generated from ArcMap

Hadoop-VGM: Step 1: Generate Quads

NETL

Input: VGM-Step-0 formatted point data

{"attributes":{"UWI	APINu":0.0,"0R_Base_m_":1221.03,"Surf_Lat"
{"attributes":{"UWI	APINu":0.0,"OR Base m ":1273.15,"Surf Lat"
{"attributes":{"UWI	APINu":0.0,"OR Base m ":2224.13,"Surf Lat"
{"attributes":{"UWI	APINu":0.0,"OR_Base_m_":2233.88,"Surf_Lat"

VGM-Step-1

Output: Attributed overlapping quads

{"attributes":{"count":10,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":23,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":13,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":14,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":15,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":16,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":16,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":16,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":16,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":16,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":10,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":12,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":12,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":24,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":18,"sumPorosity":3.5,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
}



(Output from this intermediate step shown in ArcMap)

Hadoop-VGM: Step 2: Generate Topology



Input: VGM-Step-0 formatted point data

{"attributes":{"UWI___APINu":0.0,"OR_Base_m_":1221.03,"Surf_Lat" {"attributes":{"UWI__APINu":0.0,"OR_Base_m_":1273.15,"Surf_Lat" {"attributes":{"UWI__APINu":0.0,"OR_Base_m_":2224.13,"Surf_Lat" {"attributes":{"UWI__APINu":0.0,"OR_Base_m_":2233.88,"Surf_Lat"

+

VGM-Step-1 overlapping quads

{"attributes":{"count":10,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":23,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":20,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":13,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":14,"sumPorosity":0.0,"avgPorosity":0.0,"minPo



Output:

Updated Attribution non-overlapping polygons

{"attributes":{"count":10,"sumPorosity":33.2,"avgPorosity":3.320000
{"attributes":{"count":5,"sumPorosity":8.3,"avgPorosity":1.66000000
{"attributes":{"count":13,"sumPorosity":3.7,"avgPorosity":0.2846153
{"attributes":{"count":2,"sumPorosity":9.0,"avgPorosity":4.5,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":12,"sumPorosity":18.0,"avgPorosity":1.5,"min
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":8.3,"avgPorosity":8.3,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":8.3,"avgPorosity":3.035,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":60.7,"avgPorosity":0.2846153
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":8.3,"avgPorosity":1.5,"min
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":8.3,"avgPorosity":8.3,"minPo
{"attributes":{"count":1,"sumPorosity":60.7,"avgPorosity":0.2846153



(VGM-Step-2 output in ArcMap w/ symbology based on point count)

Hadoop-VGM Performance Test



- Once a working system was achieved, the next step was to scale up the amount of input data to identify opportunities for performance enhancements within the implementation.
- Benchmarking VGM-Hadoop steps with 1 million sample points:
 - Input data size: 114 MB
 - VGM-Step-0: 54 seconds
 - VGM-Step-1: 2 minutes 28 seconds
 - VGM-Step-2: 3 hours 28 minutes
 - Output data size: 32.8 MB
- Successfully loaded output from vgm-step-2 into ArcMap (Input data failed to load)
- Running Hadoop-VGM using a 1 million point data set identified bottlenecks in the implemented approach -- namely in VGM-Step-2's topology generation implementation.

Apache Spark: The future



- In-memory data analysis
 - Enables datasets and intermediate steps to be kept in memory between iterations
 - (MapReduce datasets are loaded from disk, processed via single pass, and written to disk)
- Faster than MapReduce by an order of magnitude of more
- Develop iterative algorithms
 - Repeatedly perform operations (functions) until a condition is met (unlike MapReduce)
 - Better suited for graph / tree processing (iterative bi-directional traversal)
- Available for Java, Python, and Scala
- Spark is blurring the lines between HPC and BDC

Performance Comparison: WordCount

Home	/ user / vic / wordcount / input / linux-words / 302 / linux.words.302mb
liis	Home / user / vic / wordcount / wordcount-spark / output / part-00001
ls	
lst	
lto	(echinochrome, 8192)
M	(non-German, 8192)
ım	(condonative,8192)
MSI	(preimitative,8192)
Indahl	(correl,8192)
and-R	(panspermatism, 8192)
ni	(Fierabras,8192)
.0	(racking,8192)
\P	(consentience, 8192)
PSS	(larger, 8192)
lqbiye	(synecology, 8192)
r	(inapprehensible,8192)
ira	(LOOM, 8192)
rau	(conquinamine,8192)
RC	(passamezzo,8192)
rdvark	(Bilski,8192)
rdvarks	(versemen, 8192)
rdwolf	(distressful,8192)
rdwolves	(polyaxone, 8192)
ren	(Susette, 8192)
rgau	(shelfpiece,8192)
rgh	(unkingdom, 8192)
	(Vernen, 8192)
	(warehoused, 8192)
	(pioscope, 8192)
	(bacteriohemolysin,8192)



Word Count

- Count word occurrences contained within input file
- Comparing performance for:
 - MapReduce
 - Spark
 - Stand-alone Java application
- Input file based on copies of linux.words
- Input file sizes:
 - 302 MB, 1.2 GB, 18.9 GB, 37.8 GB

Results to date – big data processing time test



 Compared execution times for varying size data sets using Hadoop cluster-based MapReduce and Spark vs a stand alone, single threaded Java application (running on the Hadoop cluster's main node).

 Spark's in-memory design outperform the single-threaded Java application for larger datasets

SPARK VS MAPREDUCE VS SINGLE THREADED APP



- Team succeeded in running the NN algorithm in the geoprocessing, big data cluster.
- Time of execution went from 10 hours on desktop PC to 10 minutes

Autoindexing: Deep Analysis Recommendation Engine





- Perform deep contextual analysis on 25k+ documents on EDX
- Machine learning, natural language processing
- Generates correlation matches of contextually similar files
- Being expanded to include spatial and webcrawl assets
- Implemented using Spark (Scala)
- Sign up @ <u>http://edx.netl.doe.gov</u>

Hue Dashboard for Webcrawl Application

Key Take Aways

What we've learned about BDC for geoprocessing applications:

- Capable of parallel operations; ability to scale; ideal for 'in situ' processing in the 'cloud'
- Working on integration of EDX (datasets) with geoprocessing tools & models, big data computing, and high performance computing capabilities
- Seeking to overcome to 1 million point problem (ESRI shares this problem)
- Rapidly evolving landscape new BDC libraries and tools being released

Geoprocessing applications:

- Tested and executed improvements in geoprocessing calculation times using custom big data algorithms for i) nearest neighbor cluster analysis and ii) for uncertainty quantification/visualization approaches
- Developing custom big data search tool to improve connection of EDX users to public, authoritative datasets for energy R&D

Ongoing & Next Steps

T2

T3

NETL

Vic Baker (<u>vic.baker@matricresearch.com</u>, <u>vic.baker@netl.doe.gov</u>) *Mid-Atlantic Technology, Research & Innovation Center (MATRIC), National Energy Technology Laboratory, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA*

Kelly Rose (kelly.rose@netl.doe.gov)

U.S. Dept. of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Albany, Oregon, USA

Jennifer Bauer (jennifer.bauer@netl.doe.gov) AECOM, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Albany, Oregon, USA

Dave Rager (david.rager@netl.doe.gov)

Optimal Solutions Technologies Inc., National Energy Technology Laboratory, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA

